

An Enhanced Case Processing Analysis of Drug Selling Arrestees

An Addendum to The Minimum Mandatory Drug Sentencing Reform Act Study House Bill 181

August 11, 2005

Prepared by Chuck Huenke John O'Connell

Delaware Statistical Analysis Center Office of Management and Budget

DelSAC: 100208050707a

An Enhanced Case Processing Analysis of Drug Selling Arrestees

An Addendum to HB 181 – The Minimum Mandatory Drug Sentencing Reform Act Study

As a part of the report *An Analysis of the Minimum Mandatory Drug Sentencing Reform Act – House Bill 181, May 31, 2005*, an analysis of the illicit drug sales arrest outcomes had been prepared (page 5). This report was prepared in response to legislative requests. The intent was to provide contextual information regarding the types of charges filed against persons arrested for selling illicit drugs, the judicial disposition of these charges and the types of sentences provided for these charges. Key questions being asked were what kind of sentences do drug dealers receive? How many were sentenced to jail or prison? How many of the drug dealers receive a minimum mandatory term?

At a recent Sentencing Accountability Commission meeting, the committee requested that the drug sales arrests and the resulting outcomes be viewed from the perspective of a "case" rather than by each charge within a case. It is not uncommon for a drug dealer to be charged with more than one type of drug sales at the time of arrest. For instance, a drug dealer may be arrested for drug trafficking as well as possession with the intent to deliver (PWITD) and convicted only on the PWITD, the less serious crime. It was felt that the charge-based analysis obscured this pattern because in that analysis the drug trafficking is shown as a nol-prossed, when in fact the case was resolved as a PWITD.

For this enhanced analysis of drug sellers, cases involving at least one of the three drugselling statutes are tracked from the point of arrest to conviction and sentencing. For this analysis the progress of all cases for drug sellers arrested in the fourth quarter of 2003 (October to December) are tracked through the criminal justice system.

The three most commonly used Delaware drug-selling statutes are:

(1) **Title 16 §4753A Drug Trafficking**, which is a Felony B, is a per se possession minimum mandatory law that has increasing penalties associated with the weight of drugs possessed. For cocaine the association between weight of drugs and minimum terms are:

First tier drug trafficking 10 to 50 grams	 minimum term 2 years,
Second tier drug trafficking 50 to 100 grams	 minimum term 4 years,
Third tier drug trafficking 100 grams plus	 minimum term 8 years.

With the implementation of HB 210 in June 30, 2003, part of the "mandatory" was removed from drug trafficking because HB 210 allows that during the last six months of the term the offender may be "flowed down" from Level V to Level IV, thereby reducing the actual Level V term to 18 months.

(2) **Title 16 §4751 Possession with the Intent to Deliver** (PWITD narcotic) is a Felony C. As a "violent" Felony C, the presumptive SENTAC sentence is Level V for up to 30 months. §4751 is subject to minimum mandatory terms under Title 16 §4763(a) for repeat drug sales convictions: five years for heroin or three years for non-heroin cases.

(3) **Title 16 §4752 Possession with the Intent to Deliver** (PWITD-Non-narcotic), is a Felony E. As a "violent" Felony E, the presumptive SENTAC sentence is a probation term or up to 15 months at Level V. §4752 is subject to minimum mandatory terms under Title 16 §4763(a) for repeat drug sales convictions: five years for heroin or three years for non-heroin cases.

When invoked on a repeat drug sales conviction 16 §4763 (a) the term is shortened by six months at Level V with the last six months of the term being served at Level IV.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS: DRUG SELLER CASES

In the fourth quarter of 2003 (October 1 through December 31), there were 492 persons arrested in Delaware for at least one drug selling charge. These 492 persons had a total of 740 drug-selling charges included in their cases, about 1.5 drug selling charges per case. In 96 (19.5 percent) of these cases drug trafficking was the most serious charge. In 236 (48 percent) of the cases, PWITD-narcotic was the most serious charge and for the remaining 160 (32.5 percent) cases, PWITD- non-narcotic was the most serious charge.

On average, persons arrested for drug sales have a substantial criminal history. Drug traffickers have been arrested in Delaware an average of 10 times. PWITD-narcotic offenders have been arrested an average of 13 times, and PWITD-non-narcotic offenders have been arrested an average of just over 8 times.

Thirty-five percent (171 of the 492) of the persons arrested for drug sales were convicted of a drug sales charge, even if for a lesser charge. For example, a drug trafficking arrest may result in a conviction for the less serious crime PWITD-narcotics. In addition to the 35 percent the drug sales arrestees that were sentenced of a drug sales charge, and 39 percent (190 of the 492) were convicted, but for a non-drug sales charge(s) within the case. Twenty-seven percent (131 of the 492) were not convicted for any charge within the case. Of these 131 persons, 21 had a case that is still pending. It is interesting to note that only about 5 percent (24 of the 492) of the persons arrested for drug sales charges are convicted of a minimum mandatory drug term.

About 12 percent of the persons arrested for drug sales were sentenced to prison for a term exceeding a year (this includes those sentenced to minimum mandatory terms). Another 8 percent were sentenced to jail for a term of one year or less. About 6 percent of persons arrested for drug sales were sentenced to "special Level V terms." Of these, 9 were sentenced to Level V treatment in prison where the programs last between 6 to 14 months, and 13 others were sentenced to the DOC boot camp, which lasts for 6 months and is followed by intensive probation supervision. Seventeen percent of the persons arrested for drug sales were sentenced to Level IV. Almost half (48 percent) of the persons arrested for drug sales were sentenced to probation Levels I, II or III, and 3.6 percent received fines or a drug court diversion.

DETAILED FINDINGS: DRUG SELLER CASES

There are 5 tables in this analysis providing detailed information regarding the criminal justice system consequences for being arrested for selling illicit drugs.

Table 1, below, shows the conviction pattern for each of the drug selling statutes: drug trafficking, PWITD narcotic, and PWITD non-narcotic. Almost twice as many trafficking cases end up being convicted for a drug sales statute (even if for a lesser included charge) than PWITD non-narcotic (49 percent versus 25 percent). Sentencing for a minimum mandatory term is also much more likely if someone is arrested for drug trafficking. Almost 18 percent of persons arrested for drug trafficking are sentenced to a minimum mandatory sentence, which is much higher than the PWITD narcotic offenders, which have about a 3 percent chance of receiving a minimum mandatory term. None of the 160 PWITD non-narcotic arrestees received a minimum mandatory sentence.

			Table 1				
		2003 4th	Quarter Drug	g Sales Arre	ests		
	Sum	mary of Ir	ndividual-Bas	ed Case O	utcomes		
		iduals		Individuals Convicted for a Drug	Individuals Convicted on Other	for any	Individuals Sentenced
Lead Drug Sales Charge		l for Drug ales		Sales Charge	Charges in the Case	Charge in the Case	to a Mandatory
	Number	Col. %					
Drug Trafficking	96	19.51%	Number	47	27	22	17 of 96
			Row Percent	48.96%	28.13%	22.92%	17.71%
PWITD Narcotic	236 47.97%		Number	85	101	50	7 of 236
			Row Percent	36.02%	42.80%	21.19%	2.97%
PWITD Non-Narcotic	160	32.52%	Number	39	62	59	0 of 160
			Row Percent	24.38%	38.75%	36.88%	0.00%
Totals	492	100.00%	Number	171	190	131	24 of 492
			Row Percent	34.76%	38.62%	26.63%	4.88%
DelSAC June 2005							

Table 2, below, provides a summary of the arrest history for each type of drug selling statute. Offenders sentenced to minimum mandatory terms tend to have lengthier criminal histories than offenders sentenced to other types of convictions or for those not convicted. For instance, the average drug trafficker who is sentenced to a minimum mandatory term has 15 prior arrests, while the average drug trafficking arrestee has 10 prior arrests. The average PWITD narcotic offender that receives a minimum mandatory term has been arrested on average 21 times, while the average PWITD narcotic offender that receives a minimum mandatory term has 13 prior arrests.

		Tab	-			
			Drug Sales Arre			
	Arrest History fo	r Individua	al-Based Case	Outcomes		
				Individuals		
		Individuals	Individuals	Convicted	Individuals With	Individuals
		Arrested	Convicted	on Other	No Conviction	Sentenced
		for Drug	for a Drug Sales	Charges in the		to a
Lead Drug Sales Charge		Sales	Charge	Case	in the Case	Mandatory
		Number				
Drug Trafficking	Number	96	47	27	22	17
ļ į	Avg. Num. Arrests	5 10.0	12.0	9.2	9.0	15.1
PWITD Narcotic	Number	236	85	101	50	7
ļ.	Avg. Num. Arrests	13.0	13.0	15.0	14.0	21.4
PWITD Non-Narcotic	Number	160	39	62	59	0
l l	Avg. Num. Arrests	8.4	9.7	9.3	7.9	
Totals	Number	492	171	190	131	24
l A	Avg. Num. Arrests	10.9	12.0	12.3	10.4	16.9
DelSAC June 2005						

Table 3, on the next page, shows the types of crimes persons arrested for drug sales were convicted. For instance, of the 96 persons arrested for drug trafficking:

- 49 percent were convicted for a drug trafficking charge or a lesser-included PWITD charge,
- 28 percent were convicted for a non-drug sales charge, such as drug possession (felony and misdemeanor) and non drug felony and misdemeanors, and
- 23 percent were not convicted of any charge in the case.

		De	2003 4th Quarter Drug Sales Arrests Detailed Summary of Individual-Based Case Outcomes	1003 v	tth Quary of	Indiv	- Dru /idua	ig Sa Il-Bas	2003 4th Quarter Drug Sales Arrests Summary of Individual-Based Case C	rests ase (Dutco	mes									
			0 U	Counts by Most Serious Drug Sales Conviction	s by Most Seriou. Sales Conviction	Serious viction			4	Hierarchical Counts for Non- Drug Sales Convictions	hical C	ounts Conv	ounts for No Convictions	on- L	urug S	ales	Ž	No Final Disposition or Not Guiltv	al Disposi Not Guilty	ition o	
	Individuals	Indivi Convi Drug	Individuals Convicted of Drug Sales	Drug Trafficking	g king	PWITD Narc.		PWITD Non-Narc.		Individuals Convicted of Other Charges	luals ted of er ges	Weapon Weapon	or parks		-Drug Felony 1. Drug Poss.	r Non-Felony	Conv v v	Individuals with No Conviction	→ Guilty ** version***	Pending	pnibna9 as
Lead Drug Sales Charge	Arrested for Drug Sales	z	Row %	Total N	Mand T	Total M	Mand T	Total M	Mand	ي ع	Row %	эд-х					z	Row %	PN		se)
Drug Trafficking	96	47	49.0%	18	(16)*	21	(2)	8	(0)	27 2	28.1%	2	ლ ო	8 7	5	Ν	22	22.9%	16	2	4
PWITD Narcotic	236	85	36.0%			83	(2)	N	(0)	101 4	42.8%	4	28 1	18 14	1 25	12	50	21.2%	31	6	10
PWITD Non-Narcotic	160	39	24.4%					39	(0)	62 3	38.8%	2	7 1	12 5	20	16	59	36.9%	40	12	7
Totals	492	171	34.8%	18	(15) 1	104 (7 (6)	49	(0)	190 3	38.6%	ω	38 3	38 26	§ 50	30	131	26.6%	87	23	21
		* Two dru; are explai	* Two drug trafficking sent are explained as follows. (es that a defenda	are shor ant rece	wn as " ived a	not" dru life sen	tences that are shown as "not" drug minimum mandatory sentences One defendant received a life sentence pursuant to 11 4214b,	um ma	ndatory to 11 42	sente :14b,	nces				** Not (charge dismiss	** Not Guilty in cases where all charges are nolle prosequi, dismissed, or found not guilty.	cases w le prose	/here a equi, t guilty.	_
		and was n order had that it was	and was not regarded as a "drug mandatory", and one sentencing order order had a confusing reference in the notes field and it could not be determined that it was a minimum mandatory term.	l as a "d j referen i manda	rug man ce in the tory tern	datory" e notes 1.	and c field an	ne sen id it cou	tencing Id not be	order e deterr	nined					* 0	** The (s a dru	*** The case was deferred as a drug court diversion agreement	s deferra liversion	ed agree	ment
DelSAC June 2005																00	and is st case.	and is still an active drug court case.	ive drug	j court	

Table 4, below, shows the sentencing outcomes for each type of drug selling arrest. For instance, 74 of 96 drug trafficking arrestees were convicted of at least one charge within the case: a 77 percent conviction rate, and of these:

- 27 percent were sentenced to a prison term of greater than one year,
- 6.8 percent were sentenced to a jail term of one year or less,
- 9.5 percent were sentenced to a special Level V term of treatment or boot camp,
- 18.9 percent were sentenced to Level IV,
- 36.5 percent were sentenced to Levels I through III, and
- 1.4 percent were convicted but are still awaiting sentencing.

			Tabl	e 4								
	Sumn	nary of Ind	lividual-Ba	sed Se	entenc	e Out	tcome	s				
		for 2003 4	th Quarter	Drug S	Sales	Arres	ts					
492 Individua	als with Dru	ig Traffickin	g or Posses	ssion W	/ith Int	ent to	Delive	r Chai	rges at	Arrest		
36	1 (73 perce	ent) were C	onvicted for	r at Lea	st One	e Char	ge in t	he Ca	se			
					efend	ant Co	ounts b	by Hig	hest Se	ntence	Level	
Lead Drug Sales Charge at Arrest	Number of Arrestees	Number of Arrestees Convicted	Percent Convicted	LV Prison, >1 yr.	LV Jail, 1 yr. or less	LV Treatment	LV Boot Camp	Juvenile LV Indefinite	Level IV	Level III, II, or I Prob.	Fine or Other	Unknown or Awaiting
Drug Trafficking	96	74	77.1%	20	5	1	6	0	14	27	0	1
PWITD Narcotic	236	186	78.8%	21	18	7	4	12	29	83	6	6
PWITD Non-Narcotic	160	101	63.1%	1	6	1	3	1	19	62	7	1
Totals	492	361	73.4%	42	29	9	13	13	62	172	13	8
				Percen	t of De	efenda	nts by	Highe	est Sen	1	evel	
Lead Drug Sales Charge at Arrest		Number of Arrestees Convicted	Row Totals	LV Prison, >1 yr.	LV Jail, 1 yr. or less	LV Treatment	LV Boot Camp	Juvenile LV Indefinite	Level IV	Level III, II, or I Prob.	Fine or Other	Unknown or Awaiting
Drug Trafficking		74	100%	27.0%	6.8%	1.4%	8.1%	0.0%	18.9%	36.5%	0.0%	1.4%
PWITD Narcotic		186	100%	11.3%	9.7%	3.8%	2.2%	6.5%	15.6%	44.6%	3.2%	3.2%
PWITD Non-Narcotic		101	100%	1.0%	5.9%	1.0%	3.0%	1.0%	18.8%	61.4%	6.9%	1.0%
Totals		361	100%	11.6%	8.0%	2.5%	3.6%	3.6%	17.2%	47.6%	3.6%	2.2%
DelSAC June 2005												

Table 5, on the following page, provides a detailed summary of the most serious original arrest charge and the resulting statute of conviction. In addition, the sentencing pattern for each statute of conviction is also provided.

Using drug trafficking cases as an example, it is shown that of the 96 persons arrested for drug trafficking, 74 persons were convicted of drug trafficking or another charge. In some cases, the drug trafficking charge is pled to a lesser-included PWITD charge. In other cases, the offender was arrested for drug trafficking as well as a PWITD charge and in some of cases the drug trafficking charge is nol-prossed and the person is convicted of the PWITD charge. Of the 74 convictions associated with drug trafficking arrests, 18 were convicted of drug trafficking and 29 were convicted of the lesser PWITD drug selling charges.

The remaining "drug trafficking convictions" are spread across many different statutes. In most of these cases, the statute of conviction is for a secondary charge in the drug trafficking case where the drug trafficking charge was nol-prossed. For instance, two of the drug trafficking arrestees were convicted of weapon charges, three others for possession of illicit drugs within "x" feet of a school or park and seven others for nondrug felony charges that were part of their case. In addition, five others were convicted of a misdemeanor drug possession charge and two others were convicted for other simple misdemeanors or violations.

When a drug trafficking arrestee's case is pled to a non-drug trafficking or PWITD narcotic charge, the probability of serving jail or prison time decreases dramatically. Except in a very few cases, once the drug trafficking charge is nol-prossed most of convictions result in either a Level IV or other probation term.

Table 5

Detailed Individual-Based Sentence Outcomes for 2003 4th Quarter Drug Sales Arrests

492 Individuals with Drug Trafficking or Possession With Intent to Deliver Charges at Arrest 361 (73 percent) with a Conviction for Any Charge in Drug Sales Cases

							Defendant Counts by Highest Senten								
						Leve			1						
Lead Drug Sales Charge at Arrest	Lead Conviction Charge	Conviction	LV Prison, >1 yr.	LV Jail, 1 yr. or less	LV Treatment	LV Boot Camp	Juvenile LV Indefinite	Level IV	Level III, II, or I Prob.	Fine or Other	Unknown or Awaiting				
Charge at Arrest	Category	Category Count													
	Trafficking	18	13			5									
	PWITD Narc.	21	6	1		1		4	8		1				
	PWITD Non-Narc.	8		1				4	3						
	Weapon	2						1	1						
Drug Trafficking:	X-Feet of	3			1			1	1						
96 Individuals Arrested	Maint. Veh/Dwell.	8		2				1	5						
	Other Felony	7	1					2	4						
	Misd. Drug Poss.	5		1				1	3						
	Other Non-Felony	2							2						
	Sub-Totals	74	20	5	1	6	0	14	27	0	1				
	PWITD Narc.	83	13	8	1	4	9	16	27		5				
	PWITD Non-Narc.	2		1				1							
	Weapon	4	1	1					2						
PWITD Narcotic	X-Feet of	28	5	3	3		1	6	9		1				
236 Individuals Arrested	Maint. Veh/Dwell.	18	1	3	1			4	9						
	Other Felony	14	1		2		1	1	9						
	Misd. Drug Poss.	25		2			1	1	20	1					
	Other Non-Felony	12							7	5					
	Sub-Totals	186	21	18	7	4	12	29	83	6	6				
	PWITD Non-Narc.	39	1	3		3	1	16	14		1				
	Weapon	2							2						
PWITD Non-Narcotic	X-Feet of	6		1	1			1	3						
160 Individuals Arrested	Maint. Veh/Dwell.	12		2				1	9						
	Other Felony	5						1	4						
	Misd. Drug Poss.	21							20	1					
	Other Non-Felony	16							10	6					
	Sub-Totals	101	1	6	1	3	1	19	62	7	1				
T . (. 1 .		201	40	20	0	40	40	00	470	40					
Totals	5	361	42	29	9	13	13	62	172	13	8				

Defendant Counts by Highest Sentence

DelSAC June 2005